

APPLICATION NO.

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/052,156 01/16/2002 11587.60US01 1036 Robert Edward Kohler EXAMINER 22852 7590 04/21/2004 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER HO, UYEN T LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005 3731

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/052,156	MOWRY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	anuary 2002.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App nty documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/02.	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 1/16/02 is acknowledged and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-19, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gregory (5,990,379). Gregory discloses a vascular implant including a scaffold (14) and tubing (10), as claimed (see figures 8-10 and col. 14, line 10 -55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gregory '379. In regard to claim 5 and 20, although Gregory does not disclose a stent/scaffold having a L-shape, it is well known in the art to make a stent having a

Application/Control Number: 10/052,156

Art Unit: 3731

shape that can accommodate and support vessel having branches and the common shape of a stent for support vessel wall are tubular I, L, Y-shape. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Gregory's implant (20) to have a L shape in order to accommodate and support vessel having branches.

In regard to claim 25, although, Gregory does not disclose all the steps as claimed, it is known in the art to use a stent-graft for performing a coronary vessel bypass and the implant/stent-graft as disclosed by Gregory is well suit for a bypass in a blood vessel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Gregory's implant/stent-graft for performing a coronary vessel bypass. Doing so would meet all the steps as claimed.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rudakov et al. (6,451,050) disclose a stent and a graft completely covering the stent.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,156

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731 April 16, 2004